## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re Terrorist Attacks on September 11, 2001	03-md-1570 (GBD)(SN)
	ECF Case

## This document relates to:

Ashton, et al. v. al Qaeda Islamic Army, et al., 02-cv-6977 (GBD)(SN) Burlingame v. Bin Laden, et al., 02-cv-7230 (GBD)(SN) Bauer et al. v. al Qaeda Islamic Army, et al., 02-cv-7236 (GBD)(SN) Schneider et al. v. Islamic Republic of Iran, 02-cv-7209 (GBD)(SN)

## [PROPOSED] ORDER ON ASHTON PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO ADD ADDITIONAL PLAINTIFFS AGAINST THE TALIBAN

Plaintiffs in *Ashton, et al. v. Al Qaeda Islamic Army, et al.*, No. 02-cv-6977 (GBD)(SN) (the *Ashton* Plaintiffs), move to amend their Sixth Amended Complaint under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15. They also request additional considerations to facilitate this amendment.

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a)(2) permits a party to amend its complaint with the court's leave. Courts are directed to "freely give leave when justice so requires." *Id.* This decision is committed to the discretion of the court, *McCarthy v. Dun & Bradstreet Corp.*, 482 F.3d 184, 200 (2d Cir. 2007), but granting "leave to amend is the 'usual practice," *Bank v. Gohealth, LLC*, No. 21-cv-1287, 2022 WL 1132503, at \*1 (2d Cir. Apr. 18, 2022) (quoting *Hayden v. Cnty. Of Nassau*, 180 F.3d 42, 53 (2d Cir. 1999)). *See Foman v. Davis*, 371 U.S. 178, 182 (1962) (finding that leave to amend should be granted "[i]n the absence of any apparent or declared reason – such as undue delay, bad faith or dilatory motive on the part of the movant, repeated failure to cure deficiencies by amendment previously allowed, undue prejudice to the opposing party by virtue of allowance of the amendment, futility of amendment...."). The *Ashton* Plaintiffs' claims are not futile, will not unduly delay these proceedings or prejudice the Taliban, and were not filed in bad faith or with a dilatory motive.

The Ashton Plaintiffs' motion is GRANTED, and it is further ORDERED that:

• The underlying Ashton Sixth Amended Complaint, No. 02-cv-6977, is amended to

include the parties identified in the Ashton Plaintiffs' Exhibits A, B, C and D;

• This amendment supplements, but does not displace, the underlying operative *Ashton* 

Sixth Amended Complaint, No. 02-cv-6977;

• Prior rulings, orders and judgments entered in this case remain in effect as to all

parties; and

• Further service on the Taliban is not required as a result of this amendment, and prior

service orders apply, including the Court's order on service by publication at ECF

445.

Furthermore, the Court respectfully directs the Clerk of the Court to terminate the motion

at ECF 8713 in 03-MDL-1570 (GBD)(SN) and ECF 1825 in 02-cv-6977, ECF 201 in 02-cv-

7236, ECF 257 in 02-cv-7230 and ECF 93 02-cv-7209 (S.D.N.Y.) (GBD) (SN).

SO ORDERED.

Dated:, 2022	
New York, New York	SARAH NETBURN
	United States Magistrate Judge